## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Clear Form

JESSE HOUGH	ΓAILING,	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-05040-TEH
V. CROWN EQUIPMENT CORPORATION,		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	sel report that they have met and coulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the -8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to participate in the following	g ADR process:
Court Processes:  □ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  □ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  □ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)		
appreciably n ADR phone of	nore likely to meet their needs tha	ment conference with a Magistrate Judge is an any other form of ADR, must participate in an orm. They must instead file a Notice of Need for 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva <b>⊅</b>	<b>te Process:</b> Private ADR ( <i>please identify pr</i>	rocess and provider) Private Mediation
	- · ·	leadline is 90 days from the date of the order cocess unless otherwise ordered.)
<b>4</b>	other requested deadline July 31, 2012	
Dated: 01/12/2012		/s/ James A. Du Charme Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 01/12/2012		/s/ Michael D. Lisi Attorney for Defendant

## Case 3:11-cv-05040-TEH Document 14 Filed 01/17/12 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- □ Non-binding Arbitration
- □ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- □ Mediation
- ✓ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- □ 90 days from the date of this order.
- **✓** other July 31, 2012

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 01/17/2012

